VIA					I	DISPATCH NO	EGMA	37111
(S	pecify Air or Sea Po	ouch)	MI	CROFILI				124-39/3
			Mug 24 1962					
			CLAS	SIFICATION	SER,			
то	Chief, IO		(2000 to 1000	AND COMMENSATIONS AND PARTY.	DATE	<u>17 Sept</u>	ember ]	1958
	Attn: [		コ					
FROM	Chief of B	Base, Munich	Du		INFO	: EE, COS	5/G	
SUBJECT	GENERAL	DTDORIC/CKACTIVE/Operations						
	SPECIFIC	Transmittal	of Bulk	QKACTIVE	Materi	al		

We are transmitting under separate cover bulk material received from QKACTIVE.

Approved:

Attachments:
A and B w/Cover Sheet

Distribution:

√2 - IO w/1 cy atts w/Cover Sheet (USC)

2 - EE w/o atts

2 - COS/G w/1 cy atts w/Cover Sheet (USC)

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCESMETHODSEXEMPTION 3828 NAZIWAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007

INDEX

 	<u>-</u>	
 CLASSIFICAT	NOI	

FORM NO. 51-28

## COVER SHEET ATTACHMENT TO ECMA 37141

Attachment: A. CAM 47-58 - Serge BOYKO, 26 Aug 58

B. CAM 49-58 - Aksam JOSEFOGLU, 27 Aug 58

CAM 47-58

SUBJECT: BOYKO, Serge DOB/POB: 4 Mar 1937 in Kharkov, USSR DATE: 26 Aug 1958

Manday Ohmstrasse 20/1 Munich Germ

EMPLOYER AMCOMING MUN.

Teletype Operator, News Department, RL

MICROFIL MED

Aug 2 4 1962

DATE RECEIVED: 25 Aug 1958

DOC. MICRO. SER.

Robert Shankland from Elisabeth v. Boyko, Mother of Subject

On Saturday, 23 August 1958, just before 2 P/M., as Mrs. BOYKO and Subject were about to leave their agartment, they were called on by a person identifying himself as Charles HEIMAN of the 405th Personnel Utilization and Evaluation, APO 757, in Frankfurt. He flashed a card to identify himself but Subject and his mother did not get a good look at it. WHO

HEIMAN said that he had a job offer for Subject; when asked what kind of jobs were available, he said they had a wide variety covering political, welfare, etc. The specific job offered to Subject was a welfare political, welfare, etc. The specific jo position dealing with displaced persons.

HEIMAN is described as being poorly dressed and speaking German with Va slight accent, not identified; he asked Subject and his mother if they Spoke English. When they answered yes, he continued to speak German. He Adrove a car with Army plates (they did not get the number) and offered to take Subject to work at RL, which was turned down. He then wrote his address out for them in block letters, omitting the "l" in personnel when he wrote it.

The stranger was told that Subject would not be available for work since he was returning to school, which is true.

GAM 49-58

SUBJECT: NOSHFOGLU, Aksam
DEN/FOB: 7 Oct 1913 in Tobolsk, Siberia, USSE

DATE: 27 Aug 1958

REF: CAM 10-58; CAM 42-58

DATE RECEIVED: 26 Aug 1958 EMPLOYEE MUNICH GEMI, Who ha Reserved AUU 24 1962

Attached are photostat copies of two letters written to Subject by his sen, Marke. The first letter was written 12 June 1958 and the second was written 30 July 1958. The first letter was received in an envelope postmarked West Berlin on 11 August 1958 and the second likewise in an envelope pestmarked West Berlin 23 or 25 August 1958.

The first letter deals chiefly with family matters concerning the whereabouts and state of health of various members of the family. It states that the son had written two letters to which an answer for only one was received. In addition, it confirms receipt of two gift packages from Subject which he explains were sent, one through Finland and the second through the Evangelische Hilfswerk in Germany.

The second letter also deals chiefly with family matters but the main note of interest is that the son declares that he expects to be in Brussels at the Fair semetime during the first half of September and that he is looking ferward to seeing his father there and he will try to communicate the exact date in sufficient time to make a meeting pessible.

Both letters were addressed to Josef SPITZENBERGER (Subject's brotherin-law). Subject has received letyers from both his son and sister in the past as previously reported. The letters from his sister come directly from the Soviet Union properly stamped and postmarked, whereas the ones from his sen are always postmarked in West Berlin. Since the son has Subject's address in Munich, it is assumed that  $\pi$  he sen is either compelled to send his mail through the Berlin address or the mail is being picked up at the originating post-office and remailed by Soviet authorities.

TAKSAM JOSE FOGLY PRIVABLE RFE Employer Munich very